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Summary

Resolution 2005/2 of the Commission on Human Rights requested the Working Group inter alia “to monitor and study the effects of the activities of private companies offering military assistance, consultancy and security services on the international market on the enjoyment of human rights, particularly the right of peoples to self-determination, and to prepare draft international basic principles that encourage respect for human rights on the part of those companies in their activities”.

During recent missions to various countries in the Latin American and Caribbean region, the Working Group noted that the enjoyment and exercise of human rights were increasingly being impeded by the emergence of some new problems and trends related to mercenaries or their activities and by the role played by private military and security companies (PMSCs), as evidenced by the expansion of transnational security companies’ operations in the region and the use in some places of private security guards instead of national police or security forces. Against this background, the Working Group deemed it advisable to hold a consultative meeting in order to gain a regional perspective of these companies’ practices, to discuss the transfer of the monopoly over the legitimate use of force to private non-State actors as part of the swelling international trend to outsource State functions to private military and security firms, to examine the potential repercussions of this practice on national sovereignty and to analyse the regulations and other measures for which States have opted in order to ensure that these firms respect international human rights standards.

The regional consultation, which was organized by the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) in close collaboration with the Working Group on the use of mercenaries, took place in Panama City, at the OHCHR regional office for Latin America and the Caribbean, on 17 and 18 December 2007, pursuant to General Assembly resolution 62/145, which requests the OHCHR to hold regional consultations on this question.

In the findings from the regional consultation the Working Group points out that, in some circumstances, the activities of PMSCs and their personnel may result in human rights violations. PMSCs recruit and use “private security guards” in low-intensity armed conflicts or in post-conflict situations all over the world, not just in Latin America and the Caribbean. PMSCs operate in a grey area where human rights violations are facilitated by impunity and a lack of accountability. This poses serious political and military problems. PMSCs are constantly taking over functions that were until recently inherent to the sovereignty of States as the sole holders of the legitimate monopoly over the use of force. The transfer of these functions to the private sector and their performance by transnational companies are weakening national sovereignty and the United Nations collective security system. This new military and private security industry, which exports its services to areas of armed conflict, has expanded spectacularly in recent years. The large number of human rights violations reveals the inadequacy of the existing framework governing its activities, which rests mainly on self-regulation and voluntary codes of conduct. States have a duty to regulate these activities, because it is their responsibility to adopt the
requisite measure to ensure the respect, fulfilment and promotion of human rights. Nevertheless, since these activities are transnational, they must also be regulated regionally and by the United Nations.

The regional consultation was attended by representatives of the Governments of Chile, Costa Rica, Cuba, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Honduras, Panama and Paraguay, the Chairperson-Rapporteur and two members of the Working Group on the use of mercenaries, independent experts, representatives of the Inter-American Institute of Human Rights (IIHR), representatives of two associations of the military and private security industry and representatives of the Office of the High Commissioner.
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Introduction

1. Resolution 2005/2 of the Commission on Human Rights requested the Working Group on the use of mercenaries as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination inter alia “to elaborate and present concrete proposals on possible new standards, general guidelines or basic principles encouraging the further protection of human rights, in particular the right of peoples to self-determination, while facing current and emergent threats posed by mercenaries or mercenary-related activities”. The Working Group was further instructed to “study the effects of the activities of private companies offering military assistance, consultancy and security services on the international market on the enjoyment of human rights, particularly the right of peoples to self-determination, and to prepare draft international basic principles that encourage respect for human rights on the part of those companies in their activities”.

2. During missions to Honduras, Ecuador, Peru and Chile in the period 2006-2007, the Working Group noted that the enjoyment and exercise of human rights in Latin America and the Caribbean were increasingly being impeded by the emergence of some new problems and trends related to mercenaries or their activities and by the role played by private military and security companies (PMSCs), as evidenced by the expansion of transnational security companies’ operations in the region and the use in some places of private security guards instead of national police or security forces.

3. In the course of its field missions, the Working Group discovered that the monopoly over the legitimate use of force was being transferred more and more to private non-State actors in line with the international boom in the private military and security sector. These practices have meant an outsourcing or privatization of warfare at the international level and of security at the domestic level.

4. One aspect of this is the recruitment and training of personnel from Latin America and the Caribbean with a view to offering security services to private companies working in countries such as Iraq and Afghanistan. The Working Group found that governments in the region are often ill-equipped to come to grips with this problem by registering and licensing the PMSCs operating in their territory, for example or introducing effective administrative, regulatory and accountability arrangements so as to ensure that these firms follow standard recruitment procedures and offer standard working conditions. Weak or inadequate domestic legislation combined with few economic opportunities have made for a proliferation of PMSCs, which hire former soldiers and policemen and other persons from third countries so as to offer security services in low-intensity armed conflicts and post-conflict situations.

5. The Working Group deemed it advisable to hold a consultative meeting in order to gain a regional perspective of the practices of PMSCs operating in the region and to analyse the measures adopted by States to regulate and control these firms. The regional consultation also studied various good practices and options for regulating PMSCs to ensure that they comply with international human rights standards.
6. The regional consultation, which was organized by the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) in close collaboration with the Working Group on the use of mercenaries, was held at the OHCHR regional office in Panama, pursuant to the recently adopted General Assembly resolution 62/145, which requests OHCHR to hold regional consultations on this question.

I. PARTICIPANTS

7. The consultation was attended by representatives of the Governments of Chile, Costa Rica, Cuba, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Honduras, Panama and Paraguay, representatives of the Inter-American Institute of Human Rights (IIHR), four experts on the subject, and representatives of two associations of PMSCs, the International Peace Operation Association (IPOA) and the British Association of Private Security Companies (BAPSC). The list of participants may be found in annex I.

8. The Working Group was represented by the Chairperson-Rapporteur Mr. José Luis Gómez del Prado, Ms. Amada Benavides de Pérez and Mr. Alexander Nikitin.

II. PROGRAMME OF WORK

9. The following subjects were studied during the consultation:

   (a) PMSC practices, methods and trends in the region and elsewhere;

   (b) Existing international instruments and mechanisms;

   (c) The State as the holder of the legitimate monopoly over the use of force;

   (d) Privatization and internationalization of the use of force;

   (e) National laws and other measures adopted by States to regulate the practices of PMSCs;

   (f) National experiences outside Latin America and the Caribbean;

   (g) The example of South Africa;

   (h) Proposals for possible guidelines and basic principles promoting greater respect and protection of human rights by PMSCs.

10. Annex II contains more detailed information about the programme of work.

III. FINDINGS OF THE WORKING GROUP

11. The government representatives attending the regional consultation and the members of the Working Group emphasized that States have a duty to respect, implement and promote human rights.
12. The regional consultation, like the Working Group’s reports, served to alert national authorities and international public opinion to the effects of the activities of PMSCs and their personnel on the enjoyment of human rights. In some situations their action could result in human rights violations.

13. The Working Group considered it advisable to present the following findings based on statements and debates at the regional consultation.

14. “Private security guards” were recruited all over the world, not just in Latin America and the Caribbean but in the world’s other four geopolitical regions, Africa, Asia and the Pacific, Eastern Europe and Western Europe.

15. PMSCs employ “private security guards” to offer a variety of security services in low-intensity armed conflicts and post-conflict situations. They work in a grey area that is hard to distinguish from the mercenarism of the soldiers of fortune of former times. As with the mercenaries of the past, the actions of those “private security guards”, who work for legally registered transnational companies which export their services, give rise to a number of human rights problems. Yet although their activities have characteristics in common with mercenarism, save in exceptional cases they do not fit the technical definition provided in the International Convention against the Recruitment, Use, Financing and Training of Mercenaries. That instrument was drafted in the 1970s and no longer matches reality. Apart from the lacunae it contains, the Convention is not a universal instrument since only 30 States are parties to it.

16. A number of political and military dilemmas are posed by the action of PMSCs, which operate in a grey zone where human rights violations are facilitated by impunity and a lack of accountability. In order to avoid electoral costs and having to answer to public opinion, some governments outsource to such firms. At the same time, the involvement of non-State actors fragments and complicates armed conflicts and post-conflict situations because there is no coordination or control over these actors, and this hampers the armed forces’ freedom of action.

17. PMSCs are constantly taking over functions that were until recently inherent to the sovereignty of States as the sole holders of the legitimate monopoly over the use of force. The transfer of these functions to the private sector and their performance by transnational companies are weakening national sovereignty and the United Nations collective security system, which rests on Member States’ sovereignty. In some circumstances it is even possible to speak of a “privatization of war”.

18. PMSCs which export military and security services blur the dividing line between the public and private sectors. Moreover, although in reality they are essentially profit-driven, they often present themselves as humanitarian or peacebuilding organizations, thereby also making it unclear where the boundaries of non-governmental humanitarian organizations’ field of action really lie. In fact, these transnationals are neither humanitarian actors nor peacebuilders and are basically motivated by commercial considerations.

19. The new military and private security industry which exports its services to areas of armed conflict has expanded spectacularly with the fall of the Berlin Wall, the globalization of the world economy and the new pattern of governance. The industry has encouraged the establishment of associations that use the latest marketing techniques to effectively lobby
governments, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations and private industry. It would be illusory to think that when such companies violate human rights they will be punished by the invisible hand of the market as business dries up and they fade away: they are not. On the contrary, experience shows that, in many cases, companies reported for crimes against the civilian population in their theatre of action continue to receive contracts.

20. The current framework governing the activities of PMSCs, which is based mainly on self-regulation and voluntary codes of conduct, is inadequate as can be seen from the numerous instances of human rights violations. One of the most recent and most blatant incidents, which was not an isolated occurrence, was the killings that took place on 16 September 2007 in Baghdad, in which 17 civilians, among them women and children, died and a further 20 people were wounded as a result of indiscriminate shooting by personnel of the Blackwater company, which up to then had been self-regulated by the voluntary standards and codes of conduct of the International Peace Operation Association.

21. It falls to States to regulate these activities, but as they are transnational activities there is also a need for regulation at the regional level and in the framework of the United Nations. To that end, States must precisely define what functions are inherently governmental and cannot be transferred to PMSCs.

22. The wide range of activities of PMSCs poses serious problems for the international community and United Nations peacekeeping operations.

23. In the short term, the Working Group considers it necessary for States to accede to the Convention and bring their domestic legislation into line with it since, despite the fact that it is outdated and contains several lacunae, it is the only international instrument there is until such time as new provisions are drafted. In order to ease the accession of States not yet parties to the Convention, OHCHR, acting in close collaboration with the Working Group on the use of mercenaries, could draft a model law based on the Convention.

24. At the same time, in the short and medium term, the activities of PMSCs also need to be regulated at the national level, both by the States of registration of transnational exporters of military and security services and by the countries importing those services and where the companies operate or recruit.

25. As a priority measure, States should define the military and security functions which cannot be outsourced because they inherently belong to governments as holders of the monopoly over the legitimate use of force. Similarly it is necessary: to define and delimit functions which can be outsourced and for which national rules should be formulated; to adopt legislation and set up supervisory machinery, in respect of those activities; to establish a registration and licensing system and penalties; to lay down rules concerning the respect for human rights and international humanitarian law; to make it obligatory to provide personnel with proper training also encompassing human rights standards; to establish effective arrangements for selecting vetting applicants; and lastly to make it obligatory to present periodic reports and to introduce a monitoring system.
26. States must take the necessary steps to prevent the recruitment of former soldiers and police officers as “private security guards” for deployment in armed conflict or post-conflict zones. An individual’s right to free choice of employment cannot be absolute when the State becomes involved, as it does when it takes part, albeit indirectly through its citizens, in an armed conflict.

27. In the medium and long term, the Working Group considers it necessary to identify the loopholes in the Convention that PMSCs use in order to work in armed conflict or post-conflict zones and to draw up standards and additional binding basic principles with a view to incorporating them in an additional protocol to the Convention, which would first be debated in the United Nations General Assembly.

28. Furthermore the United Nations could set up in its departments, offices, organizations, programmes and funds an effective system for selecting and vetting personnel and could encourage the formulation of guidelines setting out relevant criteria for regulating and supervising the activities of PMSCs that win contracts and operate under its authority. It should also demand and ensure that those guidelines comply with human rights standards and international humanitarian law. In particular it should introduce the requirement that companies or persons employed by them have not been implicated in human rights violations.
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PARTICIPANTS

Government representatives
- Chile: Mr. Jorge Tagle, Mr. Griott, Mr. Barrientos
- Costa Rica: Mr. Juan Luis Sánchez Vargas
- Cuba: Ms. María del Carmen Herrera Caseiro
- Dominican Republic: Col. Ángel Camacho Ubiera, Mr. Fernández Valerio
- Ecuador: Mr. Augusto Saá
- El Salvador: Mr. Wilfredo de Jesús Avelenda Echeverría
- Honduras: Mr. Juan Carlos Bonilla Valladares
- Panama: Ms. Ianna Quadri, Mr. Vladimir Franco, Mr. Portugal Falcón, Mr. Janio Tuñón, Mr. Ernesto Cerrud
- Paraguay: Ms. Amalia Quintana de Florentín

Experts
- Ms. Anna Leander
- Mr. Jesús Núñez Villaverde
- Mr. Sabelo Gumede (unable to attend)
- Ms. Chia Lehnardt

Intergovernmental bodies
- IIHR: Mr. Roberto Cuéllar, Mr. Juan Navarrete
- IPOA: Mr. Doug Brooks
- BAPSC: Ms. Sabrina Schulz

Associations of the private military and security sector
- Working Group on the use of mercenaries
  - Mr. José Luis Gómez del Prado
  - Ms. Amada Benavides de Pérez
  - Mr. Alexander Nikitin

Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- Mr. Karim Ghezraoui, Ms. Teresa Albero, Ms. Maymuchka Lauriston, Ms. Dominique Laplace
Annex II

PROGRAMME OF WORK

A. 17 December 2007

1. Opening of the meeting and introductions

Welcome

1. Mr. Ricardo J. Duran, Deputy Minister for Foreign Affairs of Panama welcomed participants.

2. Mr. Karim Ghezraoui, Thematic Coordinator, Special Procedures Branch, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights and Ms. Teresa Albero, Regional Representative, OHCHR Regional Office for Latin America and the Caribbean, outlined the work of the Office.

3. The Chairperson-Rapporteur of the Working Group on the use of mercenaries, Mr. José Luis Gómez del Prado, opened the working session. He introduced the participants, summarized the programme of work and explained the purposes of the regional consultation.

4. The Chairperson-Rapporteur emphasized that the purpose of such regional consultations was to share, consider and make good use of the lessons learnt from other national, regional and international experiences and practices in the field in question.

5. Documentation:

   (a) Background paper;

   (b) Annotated programme;

   (c) List of participants.

2. Activities of private military and security companies (PMSCs) in Latin America and the Caribbean

Assessment of emerging issues, manifestations and trends with regard to the activities of PMSCs in the region and elsewhere

6. The members of the Working Group presented their findings and observations from missions to countries in the region.

7. Ms. Amada Benavides de Pérez then gave a presentation describing the activities of PMSCs operating in Latin America and the Caribbean.

8. The Chairperson-Rapporteur opened the discussion in which he gave the floor to government representatives, experts, non-governmental organizations and representatives of associations of the private military and security sector (IPOA and BAPSC).
9. Documentation:


(b) Reports of the Working Group’s missions to Honduras and Ecuador (A/HRC/4/42/Add.1 and 2);

(c) Commission on Human Rights resolution 2005/2 establishing the Working Group’s mandate (E/CN.4/RES/2005/2);

(d) General Assembly resolution 61/151 on the use of mercenaries as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination (A/RES/61/151).

3. International, regional and national regulations (part I)

Existing international instruments and mechanisms

10. Ms. Anna Leander gave a presentation of existing international instruments and mechanisms regulating PMSCs, including the International Convention against the Recruitment, Use, Financing and Training of Mercenaries of 1989, the Geneva Conventions of 1949 and protocols thereto of 1977, United Nations human rights conventions, the Charter of the United Nations and the relevant resolutions of the United Nations. One of her main conclusions was that there was a large amount of indirect, but no direct, legislation applicable to PMSCs. This subject was then discussed extensively.

11. Mr. Alexander Nikitin described regional regulatory mechanisms in the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS).

12. Documentation:

(a) International Convention against the Recruitment, Use, Financing and Training of Mercenaries of 1989;

(b) Geneva Conventions of 1949;

(c) OHCHR fact sheet No. 28, the Impact of Mercenary Activities on the Right of Peoples to Self-Determination;

(d) Copy of the expert’s statement concerning international instruments of relevance to PMSCs;

(e) CIS instrument;

(f) Report on the first meeting of experts on traditional and new forms of mercenary activities as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination (2001);
(g) Report on the second meeting of experts on traditional and new forms of mercenary activities as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination (2002);

(h) Report on the third meeting of experts on traditional and new forms of mercenary activities as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination (2004).

4. International, regional and national regulations (part II)

The State as holder of the right to use force

13. Mr. José Luis Gómez del Prado gave a presentation based on the paper prepared by Ms. Shaista Shameem, a member of the Working Group, on the State as the holder of the right to use force.

Privatization and internationalization of the use of force

14. Mr. Jesús Núñez Villaverde’s presentation focused on the privatization and internationalization of the use of force.

15. Documentation:

(a) Working Group questionnaire sent to governments;

(b) Compilation of governments’ replies;

(c) Reports of the Working Group on the use of mercenaries on its missions to Honduras, Ecuador, Peru and Chile (A/HRC/4/42/Add.1 and 2, A/HRC/7/7/Add.2 and 4);

(d) Copy of the expert’s report on the privatization and internationalization of the use of force;

(e) Comparison of national experiences in regulating the activities of PMSCs;

(f) Report on the first meeting of experts on traditional and new forms of mercenary activities as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination (2001);

(g) Report on the second meeting of experts on traditional and new forms of mercenary activities as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination (2002);

(h) Report on the third meeting of experts on traditional and new forms of mercenary activities as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination (2004).
B. 18 December 2007

5. International, regional and national regulations (part III)

Information regarding domestic legislation and other measures taken by States to regulate the activities of PMSCs

15. The government representatives gave presentations of the situation in their countries, drawing attention to domestic legislation and other measures to regulate and supervise the activities of PMSCs, including registration, licensing and monitoring procedures.

16. Documentation:

(a) Working Group questionnaire sent to governments;

(b) Compilation of governments’ replies;

(c) Reports of the Working Group on the use of mercenaries on its missions to Honduras, Ecuador, Peru and Chile (A/HRC/4/42/Add.1 and 2);

(d) Copy of the expert’s report on the privatization and internationalization of the use of force;

(e) Comparison of national experiences in regulating the activities of PMSCs;

(f) Report on the first meeting of experts on traditional and new forms of mercenary activities as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination (2001);

(g) Report on the second meeting of experts on traditional and new forms of mercenary activities as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination (2002);

(h) Report on the third meeting of experts on traditional and new forms of mercenary activities as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination (2004).

National experiences outside Latin America and the Caribbean: the example of South Africa

17. Mr. José Luis Gómez del Prado gave a summary of the presentation prepared by Mr. Sabelo Gumede, who was unable to attend the meeting, describing the legislative and administrative measures adopted by South Africa to regulate and supervise the activities of PMSCs, including registration, licensing, and regulatory and supervisory arrangements.

18. The representatives of IPOA and BAPSC described the two associations’ self-regulatory initiatives.
19. Documentation:

(a) Copies of expert reports on regulatory options and monitoring procedures;

(b) Copies of the industry’s self-regulatory initiatives.

Proposals for possible guidelines or basic principles encouraging greater respect for and protection of human rights by PMSCs

20. Drawing on States’ experiences and debates on the subject, Ms. Chia Lehnardt described the most important factors that would need to be borne in mind when drafting possible guidelines and basic principles for regulating the activities of PMSCs operating in low-intensity armed conflicts.

21. All the participants engaged in a lively debate.

22. Documentation:

(a) Code of Conduct for Law Enforcement Officials;

(b) Copy of the International Labour Organization Guide to Private Employment Agencies;

(c) Copy of the expert report on possible guidelines and basic principles for PMSCs.

6. Conclusion of the consultation

Conclusions, observations and closure of the regional consultation by the Chairperson of the Working Group

23. Mr. Gómez del Prado suggested some preliminary conclusions based on comments made by participants and members of the Working Group and closed the Latin American and Caribbean regional consultation.

-----